

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,795	09/10/2003	John Ernest Elwart	1811	
7:	590 11/01/2004		EXAM	INER
John Ernest Elwart			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
P.O. Box 5342				
Playa Del Rey, CA 90296			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,795	ELWART, JOHN ERNEST				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J Canfield	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	September 2003.					
	. · · · _ 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	fare: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	~					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. This is a first Office action on the merits for application serial number 10/658795 filed 09/10/03. Claims 1-4 are pending.

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the bracket connecting arm", "the wood framing members" and "the connecting arm" all lack a proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how the fire block can be of two lengths. The examiner believes applicant intended the claims to recite that the block may be one of two lengths. Namely, 14.5 inches and 22.5 inches.

The examiner suggest claims 3 and 4 be amended as flows:

Claim 3 (Amended) The metal fireblock of claim 2 having one of two fixed lengths adapted to be installed between adjacent framing members.

Claim 4 (Amended) The metal fireblock of claim 3 wherein one of the fixed lengths is 14.5 inches and the other of the fixed lengths is 22.5 inches.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 944,000 to Schonich et al.

Schonich provides a metal bracket 1 as shown in the figures having end connecting arms 2 & 5 provided with nail holes and raised portions 7 to strengthen the end portions and a horizontal flange with raised bosses 6. Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 1,823,509 to Peters et al.

Peteres provides a metal bracket as shown in the figures having end connecting arms 1 and 2 provided with nail holes 8 and 9 and raised portions 14 to strengthen the end portions and a horizontal flange 3 with flanges.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,795 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Recitations of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 944,000 to Schonich et al.

The length of the rung chosen in Schonich is viewed as a choice of design which would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art as 14.5 and 22.5 inches each fall within the known range of widths of prior art ladders.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 1,823,509 to Peters et al.

The length of the rung chosen in Peters is viewed as a choice of design which would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/658,795

Art Unit: 3635

in the art as 14.5 and 22.5 inches each fall within the known range of widths of

Page 5

prior art ladders.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Prior art fireblocks and brackets having reinforcing ribs and end flanges with nail

holes are shown on the attached PTO 892 form.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-

2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J Canfield

Robert Canfield
Primary Examiner